

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/744,072	SCHERER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** after-final

 (1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Eve Frank (46785).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2004
**Time:** afternoon
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner's amendments to the claims were approved placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendments were necessary merely to clarify the closed language used in the claims, namely that the claimed fragments "consist of" the recited sequences.